

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,687	08/05/2003	Hari Babu Sunkara	SO0017USNA	7564	
23906	7590 09/13/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY			KEYS, ROSA	KEYS, ROSALYND ANN	
	TENT RECORDS CENTER ILL PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4417 LANC	ASTER PIKE		1621		
WILMINGTON, DE 19805			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/634,687	SUNKARA ET AL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Rosalynd Keys	1621	

before the filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rosalynd Keys	1621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		gected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>24-27,30 and 31</u> canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 		a separate, timely file	d amendment				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>24-27,30 and 31</u> .		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Ctaims 1-6, 10,13, 14, 16,17, 19, 20, 2 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	22, and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 112, fi	rst paragraph.					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	b f	ulation of Ammont will m					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by Sea Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s). received 7/26/	2005				
13. Other:		Rosalynd Keys Primary Examiner	?				
•		Art Unit: 1621					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Claims 1-7, 9, 10, 13-20 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement; Claims 1-7, 9, 10, 13-20, 22, and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling; Claims 1-7, 9, 10, 13-20, 22, and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph; and Claims 24-28, and 30 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sunkara et at.(US 2002/0010374 àl.).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims 1-6, 10,13, 14, 16,17, 19, 20, 22, and 23 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph because the claims disclose using any activated carbon to obtain an APHA color of less than about 50. However, the disclosure does not enable obtaining an APHA color of less than about 50 for all grades of activated carbon (see Table 5, wherein only grade ACTICARBONE ENO obtained an APHA color of less than about 50).